NATIONAL BOARD FOR CERTIFIED COUNSELORS®

Enhancing Support for IPV Survivors and Perpetrators

An Analysis of EMDR and Neurofeedback Interventions

April 2025

Executive Summary

Enhancing Support for IPV Survivors and Perpetrators: An Analysis of EMDR and Neurofeedback Interventions

Intimate partner violence (IPV) is a pervasive public health crisis with long-term psychological, emotional, and physiological consequences. Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is particularly prevalent among IPV survivors, necessitating evidence-based therapeutic interventions to facilitate recovery. Additionally, research suggests that many IPV perpetrators have experienced trauma themselves, contributing to cycles of violence. This white paper, based on Dr. Huda Shaikh's dissertation, examines the comparative effectiveness of eye movement desensitization and reprocessing (EMDR) and neurofeedback (NFB) in treating PTSD among IPV survivors and explores the potential benefits of these interventions for perpetrators.

Key Findings

Effectiveness of EMDR: EMDR facilitates the reprocessing of traumatic memories through bilateral stimulation, significantly reducing intrusive thoughts, emotional distress, and hypervigilance. Participants reported improved emotional regulation and closure regarding traumatic experiences.

Effectiveness of NFB: NFB, a brain-training intervention using real-time EEG feedback, effectively regulates hyperarousal and enhances cognitive function. Survivors who underwent NFB experienced improved physiological stability, better sleep, and reduced PTSD symptoms.

Comparative Outcomes: While both interventions demonstrated significant symptom reduction, NFB was preferred for its non-invasive nature and ability to foster self-regulation. EMDR, however, was particularly beneficial for individuals with severe trauma histories.

Application for IPV Perpetrators: Studies indicate that many perpetrators have unresolved trauma contributing to emotional dysregulation and aggression. EMDR and NFB offer potential solutions by addressing underlying trauma and improving emotional regulation, thereby reducing violent behaviors.

Barriers to Access: Key challenges include financial constraints, limited availability of trained practitioners, and survivors' reluctance to revisit traumatic memories. Expanding access to these interventions through policy reform and funding initiatives is critical.

Policy Recommendations

- Expand access to EMDR and NFB by increasing funding for community mental health programs and training more practitioners.
- Integrate trauma-informed care in IPV intervention programs to ensure comprehensive support for survivors and perpetrators.

- Incorporate EMDR and NFB in correctional and rehabilitation settings to address the trauma histories of IPV perpetrators and reduce recidivism.
- Enhance public and private insurance coverage for evidence-based trauma treatments to alleviate financial barriers.
- Promote further research and multimodal treatment approaches to refine best practices and enhance intervention efficacy.

This paper highlights the necessity of a holistic, trauma-informed approach to IPV intervention. By implementing these recommendations, policymakers and mental health professionals can improve survivor outcomes, break cycles of violence, and foster long-term healing.

White Paper

Enhancing Support for IPV Survivors and Perpetrators: An Analysis of EMDR and Neurofeedback Interventions

Abstract

This white paper draws extensively on Dr. Huda Shaikh's dissertation, which investigates the comparative effectiveness of eye movement desensitization and reprocessing (EMDR) and neurofeedback (NFB) in alleviating post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms in survivors of intimate partner violence (IPV). The ex post facto study provides an evidence-based foundation for policy recommendations to enhance therapeutic approaches and address systemic barriers facing survivors. This paper aims to bridge clinical research and public policy, offering actionable insights to improve survivor outcomes and promote holistic healing.

Introduction

Intimate partner violence (IPV) is a significant public health issue that affects millions of individuals worldwide, leading to severe psychological, emotional, and physiological consequences. Survivors frequently experience post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), which can impede their ability to rebuild their lives. Effective, evidence-based therapeutic interventions are necessary to address these symptoms and support survivors in their recovery journey (Shaikh, 2022). This white paper expands on a comparative analysis of eye movement desensitization and reprocessing (EMDR) and neurofeedback (NFB) as interventions for IPV survivors with PTSD. Additionally, it explores how perpetrators of IPV have often experienced trauma themselves and could benefit from these interventions, offering policy recommendations to expand access to treatment for both survivors and perpetrators.

PTSD is a pervasive mental health condition that often develops following exposure to traumatic events. Among survivors of IPV, PTSD is particularly prevalent, with symptoms ranging from hypervigilance and flashbacks to emotional numbness and intrusive memories (Shaikh, 2022). The co-occurrence of IPV and PTSD necessitates targeted interventions that address both psychological and physiological responses to trauma.

The Prevalence and Impact of IPV

IPV is a global public health issue affecting millions of individuals annually. IPV affects individuals across all demographics, causing lasting psychological distress, economic instability, and barriers to accessing mental health care. Survivors of IPV often experience chronic exposure to emotional, physical, and psychological abuse, which can lead to significant mental health challenges, including PTSD. According to Shaikh (2022), the cyclical nature of IPV exacerbates trauma responses, making it critical to address not only the immediate safety concerns but also the long-term mental health needs of survivors. The World Health Organization (2012) reported that nearly one in three women globally experiences IPV, leading to mental health conditions such as PTSD, depression, and anxiety. The long-term effects of IPV extend beyond psychological distress, often resulting in chronic health conditions such as cardiovascular disease, autoimmune disorders, and other stress-related illnesses.

Shaikh's (2022) dissertation highlights that IPV-related PTSD often results in neurobiological changes, including dysregulation in the prefrontal cortex, amygdala hyperactivity, and disruptions in the autonomic nervous system. These changes contribute to heightened emotional reactivity, difficulty with emotional regulation, and impaired executive functioning. Moreover, survivors frequently experience socioeconomic challenges, including employment instability and difficulties in forming or maintaining interpersonal relationships, because of the ongoing psychological distress. This underscores the necessity of targeted therapeutic approaches such as EMDR and NFB, which address both the cognitive and physiological dimensions of trauma recovery.

Trauma Histories of IPV Perpetrators

A growing body of research suggests that many IPV perpetrators have histories of childhood trauma, adverse childhood experiences (ACEs), or previous exposure to violence (Dutton, 2003). Individuals who experience trauma at a young age may develop maladaptive coping mechanisms, including aggression, emotional dysregulation, and difficulties forming healthy relationships. These factors contribute to cycles of violence in which trauma survivors become perpetrators of IPV themselves.

Studies have shown that a significant percentage of IPV perpetrators have PTSD symptoms stemming from their own experiences of abuse or neglect (Whitfield et al., 2003). If left untreated, trauma can manifest as hyperarousal, impulsivity, and emotional dysregulation, increasing the likelihood of violent behavior in relationships. Addressing the root causes of violent behavior through interventions like EMDR and NFB can help break these cycles by promoting emotional regulation, improving cognitive flexibility, and reducing reactivity to stressors.

PTSD and IPV: The Need for Specialized Interventions

PTSD among IPV survivors manifests in various symptoms, including hypervigilance, intrusive memories, dissociation, emotional numbness, and avoidance behaviors (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Traditional talk therapy may not fully address the neurophysiological dysregulation underlying PTSD, necessitating alternative approaches that target both cognitive and somatic responses to trauma (Shaikh, 2022). EMDR and NFB have emerged as promising interventions that provide survivors with tools to regulate their nervous system and integrate traumatic memories into adaptive cognitive frameworks. Similarly, these interventions can also help perpetrators of IPV regulate emotions and develop healthier behavioral responses.

EMDR: A Trauma-Focused Therapy

Mechanism of Action

EMDR is an eight-phase psychotherapy model designed to help individuals process traumatic memories using bilateral stimulation, such as guided eye movements. This approach facilitates the brain's adaptive information processing system, allowing survivors to reframe distressing memories and reduce emotional reactivity (Shapiro, 2001). EMDR is based on the premise that PTSD symptoms result from unprocessed traumatic memories that remain stored in maladaptive neural networks.

Efficacy

Studies have consistently supported the efficacy of EMDR in reducing PTSD symptoms. Meta-analyses demonstrate that EMDR is superior to some traditional psychotherapies for trauma recovery (Bisson et al., 2013). Shaikh's (2022) research comparing EMDR and NFB found that IPV survivors undergoing EMDR reported significant improvements in emotional regulation, self-perception, and interpersonal relationships. EMDR has also been linked to decreased physiological reactivity to trauma reminders, making it a valuable tool for survivors struggling with hyperarousal and flashbacks.

For perpetrators of IPV, EMDR can be beneficial in addressing unresolved trauma that contributes to emotional dysregulation and impulsive aggression. By restructuring maladaptive trauma memories, EMDR may reduce reactive aggression and increase self-awareness in individuals with a history of violence (Babcock et al., 2004).

Neurofeedback: A Neurological Approach to Healing

Mechanism of Action

NFB is a brain-training technique that uses real-time electroencephalogram (EEG) feedback to help individuals regulate brain activity. By targeting specific brain wave patterns, NFB assists in restoring neurophysiological balance, thereby alleviating PTSD symptoms (Demos, 2005). This method is particularly useful for addressing hyperarousal, emotional dysregulation, and dissociation, common in IPV survivors with PTSD.

NFB promotes optimal brainwave patterns, reducing hyperarousal and enhancing focus. Repeated sessions strengthen neural pathways, fostering long-term resilience and emotional stability.

Efficacy

Research highlights NFB's effectiveness in modulating brain activity associated with trauma-related symptoms (van der Kolk et al., 2016). Shaikh's (2022) findings noted a statistically significant decrease in PTSD symptoms among IPV survivors who underwent NFB. Participants reported reductions in intrusive thoughts, nightmares, and hypervigilance, alongside improvements in cognitive function and emotional resilience. Unlike traditional therapies, NFB fosters long-term neuroplasticity, enabling lasting symptom reduction and emotional stability.

NFB may also be particularly beneficial for IPV perpetrators, as research suggests that individuals with a history of violent behavior often exhibit heightened amygdala activation and reduced prefrontal regulation (Davidson et al., 2000). By training the brain to develop more adaptive patterns of emotional regulation, NFB can reduce impulsivity and aggression, thereby decreasing the likelihood of future violence.

Methodology and Key Findings From the Dissertation

Methodology

Dr. Shaikh's study utilized an ex post facto research design to examine the efficacy of EMDR and NFB in reducing PTSD symptoms among IPV survivors. The study population comprised survivors who had completed either EMDR or NFB interventions. The research employed validated measures such as the PTSD Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5) to assess symptom severity pre- and post-treatment.

EMDR and Neurofeedback: A Comparative Analysis

Dr. Shaikh's ex post facto study provides a robust comparison of EMDR and NFB in addressing PTSD symptoms. EMDR, a structured psychotherapy approach, facilitates the reprocessing of traumatic memories by engaging bilateral stimulation, such as eye movements or tactile taps (Shapiro, 2017). This process allows clients to desensitize distressing memories and reframe them within a more adaptive context. In contrast, NFB focuses on regulating brainwave activity through operant conditioning. By providing real-time feedback on neural activity, NFB enables clients to develop greater self-regulation and reduce hyperarousal—a core symptom of PTSD (Shaikh, 2022). The study's findings underscore that both modalities offer significant benefits but differ in their mechanisms of action and areas of effectiveness.

Study Findings

Dr. Shaikh's dissertation revealed that both EMDR and NFB resulted in statistically significant reductions in PTSD symptoms among IPV survivors. However, the modalities demonstrated distinct strengths:

- **EMDR**: Particularly effective in reducing intrusive memories and hypervigilance. Participants reported a sense of closure and emotional resolution regarding their traumatic experiences.
- **NFB**: Showed marked improvements in physiological regulation, such as reduced heart rate variability and improved sleep patterns. This modality was especially beneficial for survivors with somatic symptoms and chronic hyperarousal.

Notably, participants who engaged in both modalities reported the highest levels of symptom relief, highlighting the potential for an integrative approach to trauma treatment (Shaikh, 2022).

Key Findings

1. **Effectiveness of EMDR**: EMDR demonstrated significant reductions in PTSD symptoms, with participants reporting improvements in emotional regulation, intrusive thoughts, and sleep disturbances. These findings align with existing literature highlighting EMDR's efficacy in processing traumatic memories (Shapiro, 2017).

2. **Effectiveness of NFB**: NFB was equally effective in alleviating PTSD symptoms, particularly in regulating hyperarousal and improving concentration. By targeting dysregulated brain activity, NFB fosters self-regulation and resilience (Hammond, 2011).

3. **Comparative Outcomes**: While both interventions yielded significant improvements, participants expressed a preference for NFB because of its non-invasive nature and ability to foster a sense of agency. However, EMDR's structured approach was particularly beneficial for those with severe trauma histories.

4. **Barriers to Access**: The study identified key barriers, including cost, availability of trained practitioners, and survivors' apprehension about revisiting traumatic memories.

Implications for Trauma-Informed Care

The findings underscore the importance of a multimodal approach to addressing PTSD among IPV survivors. Trauma-informed care should prioritize both psychological and physiological interventions, ensuring that survivors receive comprehensive support. Key considerations include:

- **Accessibility**: Many survivors face barriers to accessing specialized treatments like EMDR and NFB, including financial constraints and limited availability of trained practitioners. Policies should focus on expanding funding for trauma-specific services and increasing the workforce capacity of trained clinicians.

- **Cultural Sensitivity**: IPV and PTSD are experienced differently across cultural contexts. Interventions must be tailored to align with survivors' cultural beliefs and values, ensuring relevance and effectiveness.

- **Integrated Care**: Given the interplay between mental and physical health, integrating EMDR and NFB into primary care settings can facilitate holistic healing. Collaborative care models can bridge the gap between mental health services and medical care.

Policy Recommendations

Enhancing Accessibility to EMDR and NFB for Survivors and Perpetrators

- **Expand trauma-informed treatment programs for perpetrators**: Implement EMDR and NFB interventions within batterer intervention programs to address underlying trauma and emotional dysregulation.
- **Increase funding for research and implementation**: Allocate federal and state resources to study the efficacy of trauma-focused interventions for IPV perpetrators.
- **Provide clinician training for dual-treatment models**: Train therapists to integrate EMDR and NFB for both survivors and perpetrators, reducing cycles of violence.
- **Implement screening protocols in health care settings**: Routine screening for IPV and PTSD in primary care and emergency settings can facilitate early identification and intervention. Health care providers should be trained to recognize the signs of trauma and provide appropriate referrals to EMDR and NFB services.
- **Develop community-based support networks in the community**: Organizations play a vital role in supporting IPV survivors. Policies should encourage partnerships between mental health providers and community groups to offer localized, culturally sensitive care. Mobile clinics and telehealth platforms can further extend the reach of these services.

Breaking the Cycle of Violence Through Treatment

- **Develop court-mandated treatment alternatives**: Implement trauma-focused intervention programs as alternatives to incarceration for IPV offenders with PTSD.
- **Incorporate trauma screenings in legal settings**: Require trauma screenings for IPV perpetrators in family and criminal courts to determine the need for therapeutic intervention.

Call to Action

The findings of Dr. Shaikh's dissertation illuminate the transformative potential of EMDR and NFB in addressing PTSD among IPV survivors. Policymakers, health care providers, and community leaders must collaborate to implement the recommendations outlined in this paper. By prioritizing trauma-informed care and expanding access to evidence-based interventions, we can empower survivors to reclaim their lives and build a future free from the shadows of trauma.

Conclusion

Addressing IPV requires a multifaceted approach that acknowledges both survivor recovery and perpetrator rehabilitation. Shaikh's (2022) research underscores the transformative impact of EMDR and NFB in addressing PTSD symptoms among IPV survivors, and emerging research suggests these interventions may also help perpetrators with a history of trauma. By implementing targeted policy initiatives, stakeholders can expand access to these therapies, breaking cycles of violence and promoting long-term healing for both survivors and perpetrators.

Considerations for Future Research

Considerations regarding future research are further explored in the recent studies referenced below.

Baird, S. L., Tarshis, S., & Messenger, C. (2022). The use of neuroscience in interventions for intimate partner violence (IPV): A scoping review. *Clinical Social Work Journal*, *50*(2), 194–206. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10615-022-00840-4

Cardenas, I., Fraga Rizo, C., Ward, M., & Jiwatram-Negrón, T. (2024). Systematic review of intimate partner violence interventions for Latinas in the U.S. *Trauma, Violence & Abuse*, *25*(5), 3473–3487. https://doi.org/10.1177/15248380241253037

Gilbar, O., Cohen, L., Smethurst, M., Creech, S., Azaria-Mizrachi, Z., Ben-Gal, N., & Taft, C. (2025). Protocol for a randomized controlled trial of strength at home in Israel: A trauma informed intervention for intimate partner violence based on a social-information processing model. *Contemporary Clinical Trials*, *149*, 107775. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cct.2024.107775

Weon, H.-W., Byun, Y.-E., & Lim, H. -J. (2021). Quantitative EEG (QEEG) analysis of emotional interaction between abusers and victims in intimate partner violence: A pilot study. *Brain Sciences*, *11*(5), 570. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci11050570

Hegarty, K., Tarzia, L., Navarro Medel, C., Hameed, M., Chondros, P., Gold, L., Tassone, S., Feder, G., & Humphreys, C. (2023). Protocol for a randomised controlled trial of a healthy relationship tool for men who use intimate partner violence (BETTER MAN). *BMC Public Health*, *23*(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-023-17032-5

Karr, J. E., & Logan, T. K. (2024). Post-concussion symptoms in women with head injury due to intimate partner violence. *Journal of Neurotrauma*, *41*(3/4), 447–463. https://doi.org/10.1089/neu.2023.0101

Rojczyk, P., Heller, C., Seitz-Holland, J., Kaufmann, E., Sydnor, V. J., Berger, L., Pankatz, L., Rathi, Y., Bouix, S., Pasternak, O., Salat, D., Hinds, S. R., Esopenko, C., Fortier, C. B., Milberg, W. P., Shenton, M. E., & Koerte, I. K. (2024). Intimate partner violence perpetration among veterans: Associations with neuropsychiatric symptoms and limbic microstructure. *Frontiers in Neurology*, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2024.1360424

Schwarz, J. E., Baber, D., Giantisco, E., & Isaacson, B. (2021). EMDR for survivors of sexual and intimate partner violence at a nonprofit counseling agency. *Journal of EMDR Practice & Research*, *15*(4), 202–217. https://doi.org/10.1891/EMDR-D-21-00014

Sousa, M., Andrade, J., de Castro Rodrigues, A., Caridade, S., & Cunha, O. (2024). The effectiveness of intervention programs for perpetrators of intimate partner violence with substance abuse and/or mental disorders: A systematic review. *Trauma, Violence & Abuse*, *25*(5), 4188–4203. https://doi.org/10.1177/15248380241270063

Wynter, K., Francis, L. M., Borgkvist, A., Dixson, B., D'Souza, L., Duursma, E., May, C., Sher, L., & George, J. S. (2025). Effectiveness of father-focused interventions to prevent or reduce intimate partner violence during pregnancy and early parenthood: A systematic review. *Trauma, Violence & Abuse*, *26*(1), 167–182. https://doi.org/10.1177/15248380241277270

References

American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). American Psychiatric Publishing.

Babcock, J. C., Green, C. E., & Robie, C. (2004). Does batterers' treatment work? A meta-analytic review of domestic violence treatment. *Clinical Psychology Review*, *23*(8), 1023–1053. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2002.07.001

Bisson, J. I., Roberts, N. P., Andrew, M., Cooper, R., & Lewis, C. (2013). Psychological therapies for chronic post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) in adults. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, *12*(CD003388). https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD003388.pub4

Davidson, R. J., Putnam, K. M., & Larson, C. L. (2000). Dysfunction in the neural circuitry of emotion regulation—A possible prelude to violence. *Science*, *289*(5479), 591–594. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.289.5479.591

Demos, J. N. (2005). *Getting started with neurofeedback*. W. W. Norton & Company.

Dutton, D. G. (2003). The abusive personality: Violence and control in intimate relationships. *Guilford Press*.

Hammond, D. C. (2011). What is neurofeedback: An update. *Journal of Neurotherapy*, *15*(4), 305–336. https://doi.org/10.1080/10874208.2011.623090

Shaikh, H. (2022). *PTSD symptoms comparison EMDR and NFB with IPV survivors: Ex-post-facto study* [Doctoral dissertation, Capella University].

Shapiro, F. (2001). *Eye movement desensitization and reprocessing (EMDR): Basic principles, protocols, and procedures* (2nd ed.). Guilford Press.

Shapiro, F. (2017). *Eye movement desensitization and reprocessing (EMDR) therapy: Basic principles, protocols, and procedures* (3rd ed.). Guilford Press.

van der Kolk, B. A., Hodgdon, H., Gapen, M., Musicaro, R., Suvak, M. K., Hamlin, E., & Spinazzola, J. (2016). A randomized controlled study of neurofeedback for chronic PTSD. *PLOS One*, *11*(12), e0166752. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0166752

Whitfield, C. L., Anda, R. F., Dube, S. R., & Felitti, V. J. (2003). Violent childhood experiences and the risk of intimate partner violence in adults. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, *18*(2), 166–185. https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260502238733

World Health Organization. (2012). *Understanding and addressing violence against women*. WHO Press. https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/77433/WHO_RHR_12.35_eng.pdf

Biography

Huda Shaikh, PhD, LPC-S, NCC, BCN

Dr. Huda "Shay" Shaikh is a Licensed Professional Counselor Supervisor, National Certified Counselor, Board Certified Neurofeedback Clinician, and Board Approved Neurofeedback Mentor. She graduated with a BS in psychology from The University of Houston and earned a Master of Arts in Counseling from the University of Texas at San Antonio. Dr. Shaikh completed her doctoral program in counselor education and supervision in September of 2022. Her dissertation was focused on comparing the modalities of eye movement desensitization and reprocessing (EMDR) and neurofeedback (NFB) in response to intimate partner violence (IPV) survivor PTSD treatment. Given her passion for trauma work, in addition to being a neurofeedback clinician, she is trained in EMDR therapy, is a cognitive processing therapy (CPT) provider, trained in providing trauma-focused cognitive behavioral therapy (TF-CBT), and is well versed in play therapy strategies.

Having a passion for trauma and program management, Dr. Shaikh has worked with a diverse set of populations providing trauma therapy and neurofeedback therapy. Throughout her career, she has been instrumental in building trauma-focused programs at different agencies. With a passion for working with combat veterans, she has also been a contracted neurofeedback clinician with the Lone Survivor Foundation to serve those who served our nation. Formerly, with Region 4 Education Service Center, Dr. Shaikh led a trauma team in the Santa Fe District to implement systems and provide trauma-wellness counseling services to students and staff in the district in response to the May 18, 2018, mass violence tragedy at Santa Fe High School. Upon the completion of the trauma-focused project with Region 4 Education Service Center, Dr. Shaikh worked with the Fort Bend County Women's Center as their Neurofeedback Supervisor and Counselor to provide mental health services to survivors of domestic violence and sexual assault. Dr. Shaikh has worked with the Harris County Domestic Violence Coordinating Council (HCDVCC) as their Neurofeedback Program Manager. Her main responsibility was to establish the neurofeedback therapy program in response to IPV survivor care.

In addition to her continued collaboration to support equitable mental health initiatives in nonprofit organizations, Dr. Shaikh also services trauma survivors in her private practice; has served on the Texas Counseling Association's Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Board Committee as well as the Ethics Committee; is a peer reviewer for the Office of Justice Programs (OJP) and serves on the editorial review board of the *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy* journal; and teaches as adjunct faculty at Yorkville University and Texas A&M Kingsville to support their graduate counseling programming learners.

Contact information: Hs.shaikh@gmail.com

